

MORE ON SUPER-REPLICATION FORMULAE

CHANG HEON KIM AND JA KYUNG KOO

ABSTRACT. We extend Norton-Borcherds-Koike's replication formulae to super-replicable ones by working with the congruence groups $\Gamma_1(N)$ and find the product identities which characterize super-replicable functions. These will provide a clue for constructing certain new infinite dimensional Lie superalgebras whose denominator identities coincide with the above product identities. Therefore it could be one way to find a connection between modular functions and infinite dimensional Lie algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{M}$ be the monster simple group whose order is approximately 8×10^{53} . In 1979, McKay and Thompson ([15]) found some relations between the monster group and elliptic modular function J . Let f_i be the degrees of irreducible characters of $\mathbb{M}$ (i.e. $f_1 = 1$, $f_2 = 196883$, $f_3 = 21493760$, etc) and $J = j - 744 = q^{-1} + 0 + 196884q + \cdots = q^{-1} + 0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n q^n$ be the elliptic modular function (called the modular invariant). Then it was noticed that c_i can be expressed as a linear combination of f_j 's. For example, $c_1 = f_1 + f_2$, $c_2 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$, $c_3 = 2f_1 + 2f_2 + f_3 + f_4$, etc. Based on their observation, McKay and Thompson conjectured the existence of a natural infinite dimensional representation of the monster, $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ with $\dim(V_n) = c_n$. Thompson ([16]) also proposed considering, for any element $g \in \mathbb{M}$, the modular properties of the series

$$T_g(q) = q^{-1} + 0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{Tr}(g|V_n) q^n$$

Supported by KOSEF Research Grant 98-0701-01-01-3
AMS Classification : 11F03, 11F22

(the *Thompson series*) where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ with $\text{Im}(z) > 0$. And Conway and Norton ([3]) conjectured that $T_g(q)$ would be a Hauptmodul for a suitable genus zero discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Now we shall explain the notion of a Hauptmodul. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the complex upper half plane and let Γ be a discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since the group Γ acts on $\mathfrak{H}$ by linear fractional transformations, we get the modular curve $X(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$, as a projective closure of the smooth affine curve $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$. Here, $\mathfrak{H}^*$ denotes the union of $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. If the genus of $X(\Gamma)$ is zero, then the function field $K(X(\Gamma))$ in this case is a rational function field $\mathbb{C}(j_\Gamma)$ for some modular function j_Γ . If the element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is in Γ , it takes z to $z + 1$, and in particular a modular function f in $K(X(\Gamma))$ is periodic. Thus it can be written as a Laurent series in $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ ($z \in \mathfrak{H}$), which is called a *q-series* (or *q-expansion*) of f . We call f *normalized* if its q -series starts with $q^{-1} + 0 + a_1q + a_2q^2 + \dots$. By a *Hauptmodul* t we mean the normalized generator of a genus zero function field $K(X(\Gamma))$.

In 1980s Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman ([5], [6]) constructed an infinite dimensional graded representation $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ of $\mathbb{M}$ with $\dim(V_n) = c_n$ using vertex operator algebra, which comes from the space of physical states of a bosonic string moving in a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifold of a 26-dimensional torus. In 1992 Borchers ([2]) proved the Conway-Norton's conjecture by using a recursion formula derived from the twisted denominator formula of a generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebra with some group action. Here, we shall investigate some properties of the twisted denominator formula.

Put $t = T_g$ and $t^{(i)} = T_{g^i}$. Let $H_n = \text{Tr}(g|V_n)$ and $H_n^{(i)} = \text{Tr}(g^i|V_n)$. Also let $X_n(t)$ be the Faber polynomial of t such that $X_n(t) \equiv \frac{1}{n}q^{-n} \pmod{q\mathbb{C}[[q]]}$ ([4], Chapter 4) and write $X_n(t) = \frac{1}{n}q^{-n} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_{m,n}q^m$. Then the Borchers' twisted denominator formula is

$$p^{-1} \prod_{\substack{n > 0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \exp\left(-\sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{s} H_{mn}^{(s)} q^{sm} p^{sn}\right) = t(p) - t(q)$$

where $p = e^{2\pi iy}$ and $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ with $y, z \in \mathfrak{H}$. Moreover it is not difficult to show that the above is equivalent to

$$X_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ 0 \leq b < d}} t^{(a)} \left(\frac{az + b}{d} \right).$$

By comparing q^m -terms on both sides we obtain

$$H_{m,n} = \sum_{s|(m,n)} H_{mn/d^2}^{(s)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$H_{a,b} = H_{c,d} \text{ whenever } (a,b) = (c,d) \text{ and } ab = cd \text{ (see [11])}.$$

When $t = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m q^m$ is a formal power series with integer coefficients, we call t *replicable* if it satisfies $H_{a,b} = H_{c,d}$ whenever $(a,b) = (c,d)$ and $ab = cd$. One then has the following equivalent conditions which characterize replicable functions (see [7], also [1], [11] and [13] for (a) $\iff$ (b)).

(a) t is replicable.

(b) for each $s \geq 1$ there exists a normalized q -series $t^{(s)} = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m^{(s)} q^m$ such that

$$t^{(1)} = t, \quad \text{and} \quad X_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ 0 \leq b < d}} t^{(a)} \left(\frac{az + b}{d} \right).$$

(c) for every $s \geq 1$ there exists a normalized q -series $t^{(s)} = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m^{(s)} q^m$ such that

$$p^{-1} \prod_{\substack{n > 0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \exp\left(-\sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{s} H_{mn}^{(s)} q^{sm} p^{sn}\right) = t(p) - t(q)$$

where $p = e^{2\pi iy}$ and $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ with $y, z \in \mathfrak{H}$.

We note that when t is the Thompson series, the product identity (c) coincides with the Borcherds' twisted denominator formula.

Let t_0 be a replicable function. According to Norton's conjecture ([13]) t_0 is a Hauptmodul for some modular curve X . Let $\tilde{X}$ be a double covering of X with genus zero and t be the Hauptmodul for $\tilde{X}$. It is natural to ask what sort of relations t_0 and t

satisfy. As before we write $t_0 = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} h_m q^m$ (resp. $t = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m q^m$) and $X_n(t_0) = \frac{1}{n} q^{-n} + \sum_{m \geq 1} h_{m,n} q^m$ (resp. $X_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} q^{-n} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_{m,n} q^m$). We propose to call t *super-replicable* with respect to a replicable function t_0 and a character ψ if the following formula holds

$$\psi(a, b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = \psi(c, d) \times (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d})$$

whenever $(a, b) = (c, d)$ and $ab = cd$. Observe that if $H_{a,b} = h_{a,b}$ and ψ is the trivial character, then the above identity reduces to the replicable one.

Let $\Gamma_1(N) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \}$ and $X_1(N) = \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$, and let $\Gamma_0(N) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \}$ and $X_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$. According to [8], [12] the genus of $X_1(N)$ is zero if and only if $1 \leq N \leq 10$ and $N = 12$. When $N \in \{5, 8, 10, 12\}$, $X_1(N)$ becomes a double covering of $X_0(N)$. Let t (resp. t_0) be the Hauptmodul of $X_1(N)$ (resp. $X_0(N)$) for such N . We proved in [9], Corollary 11 that for positive integers a, b, c, d with $ab = cd$, $(a, b) = (c, d)$ and $(b, N) = (d, N) = 1$,

$$(*) \quad \psi_N(b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = \psi_N(d) \times (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d})$$

where $\psi_N : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is a character defined by

$$\psi_N(b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this article we refine the above to discard the condition $(b, N) = (d, N) = 1$ and show that each Hauptmodul t is in fact a super-replicable function with respect to a replicable function t_0 and some character ψ (Theorem 4, 11 and 14). And, in Theorem 8 we give a criterion which characterizes super-replicable functions.

Through the article we adopt the following notations:

- $f| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right)$
- $f(z) = g(z) + O(1)$ means that $f(z) - g(z)$ is bounded as z goes to $i\infty$.

2. SUPER-REPLICATION FORMULAE

Let Δ^n be the set of 2×2 integral matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ where $a \in 1 + N\mathbb{Z}$, $c \in N\mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc = n$. Then Δ^n has the following right coset decomposition: (See [10], [12], [14])

$$\Delta^n = \bigcup_{\substack{a|n \\ (a,N)=1}} \bigcup_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{a}-1} \Gamma_1(N) \sigma_a \begin{pmatrix} a & i \\ 0 & \frac{n}{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma_a \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\sigma_a \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$. For a modular function f we define the Hecke operators U_n and T_n by

$$f|_{U_n} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f|_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix}}$$

and

$$f|_{T_n} = n^{-1} \sum_{\substack{a|n \\ (a,N)=1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{a}-1} f|_{\sigma_a \begin{pmatrix} a & i \\ 0 & \frac{n}{a} \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Notice that if n divides a power of N , then $f|_{U_n} = f|_{T_n}$.

Lemma 1. *If f is invariant under $\Gamma_1(N)$ and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0(N)$, then $(f|_{T_n})|_{\gamma_0} = (f|_{\gamma_0})|_{T_n}$ for any positive integer n . In particular, $f|_{T_n}$ is again invariant under $\Gamma_1(N)$.*

Proof. [9], Lemma 7. □

Let t (resp. t_0) be the Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_1(N)$ (resp. $\Gamma_0(N)$).

Lemma 2. *For $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$, we have*

$$X_r(t)|_{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \psi_N(d)(2X_r(t) - X_r(t_0)) + X_r(t_0) \} + c_0$$

with $c_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12} \\ X_r(t)(a/c), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. Observe that

$$X_r(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)} + X_r(t) = \begin{cases} 2X_r(t), & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N} \\ X_r(t_0) + X_r(t)(a/c), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the above, when d is not congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{N}$, $X_r(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)} + X_r(t)$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$ and has poles only at $\Gamma_0(N)\infty$ with $r^{-1}q^{-r}$ as its pole part. This guarantees the above equality. Now the assertion is immediate. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $N \in \{5, 8, 10, 12\}$ and p be a prime dividing N . Except the case $N = 10$ and $p = 2$, we have $2X_{pn}(t)|_{U_p} - X_{pn}(t_0)|_{U_p} = \frac{1}{p}(2X_n(t) - X_n(t_0))$.*

Proof. First we observe that all functions in the assertion are invariant under $\Gamma_1(N)$. By definitions, $X_n(t)$ (resp. $X_n(t_0)$) can have poles only at $\Gamma_1(N)\infty$ (resp. $\Gamma_0(N)\infty$) and $X_{pn}(t)|_{U_p}$ (resp. $X_{pn}(t_0)|_{U_p}$) can have poles only at $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1}\Gamma_1(N)\infty$ (resp. $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1}\Gamma_0(N)\infty$) for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1}\Gamma_0(N)\infty &= p^{-1}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & -i \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\Gamma_0(N)\infty \sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\Gamma_0(N)\infty \\ &\sim \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\Gamma_0(N)\infty \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol $\sim$ stands for a $\Gamma_1(N)$ -equivalence of cusps. Let $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be an element in $\Gamma_0(N)$. Then $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)\infty = \frac{a}{c/p}$ in lowest terms. Write $c = N \cdot (pk + l)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. We then readily see that $\frac{c}{p} \equiv \frac{N}{p} \cdot l \pmod{N}$, which implies $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ c/p \end{smallmatrix}\right) \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ (N/p)l \end{smallmatrix}\right) \pmod{N}$.

We claim that for $l \geq 1$, $\frac{a}{(N/p)l} \sim \frac{1}{(N/p)j}$ for some j in $X_1(N)$. In fact, $\frac{a}{(N/p)l} \sim \frac{1}{(N/p)j}$ for some j in $X_1(N) \iff \pm \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ (N/p)l \end{smallmatrix}\right) \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+(N/p)jn \\ (N/p)j \end{smallmatrix}\right) \pmod{N}$ for some $n \iff \pm a \equiv 1+(N/p)jn \pmod{N}$ where $j = l$ or $p-l \iff ((N/p)j, N)|\pm a-1 \iff (N/p)|a-1$ or $a+1$ for a prime to N , which is clear from the following table:

N	p	N/p	a
5	5	1	1, 2, 3, 4
8	2	4	1, 3, 5, 7
10	5	2	1, 3, 7, 9
12	2	6	1, 5, 7, 11
12	3	4	1, 5, 7, 11

Now we consider the pole part of $X_{pn}(t)|_{U_p}$ at the cusp $\frac{1}{(N/p)j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
p \cdot (X_{pn}(t)|_{U_p})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (N/p)j & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X_{pn}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (N/p)j & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = X_{pn}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X_{pn}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+(N/p)ji & i \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\
&= (X_{pn}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X_{pn}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+(N/p)ji & i \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\
(1) \quad &= X_{pn}(t) \left(\frac{z}{p}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X_{pn}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+(N/p)ji & i \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(2) \quad p \cdot (X_{pn}(t_0)|_{U_p})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (N/p)j & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = X_{pn}(t_0) \left(\frac{z}{p}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X_{pn}(t_0)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+(N/p)ji & i \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)}.$$

Here we consider the matrix $M_i = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+(N/p)ji & i \\ Nj & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ where i runs through $1, \dots, p-1$. Write it as $\gamma_i W_i$ where $\gamma_i \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and W_i is an upper triangular matrix. Since $\det \gamma_i W_i = p$, W_i must be of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ or $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. $W_i = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \iff p$ divides both $1 + (N/p)ji$ and $Nj \iff p$ divides $1 + (N/p)ji$. $W_i = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}\right) \iff p$ does not divide $1 + (N/p)ji \iff \gamma_i \in \Gamma_0(N)$.

Case I. $N = p = 5$

$$\{ji \bmod 5 | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \bmod 5\}$$

$$\{1 + (N/p)ji \bmod 5 | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{2, 3, 4, 0 \bmod 5\}$$

Three of γ_i 's belong to $\Gamma_0(5)$ and one of them belongs to $\pm\Gamma_1(5)$. Thus the formula

$$(1) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 2 + O(1) \text{ and the formula } (2) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 4 + O(1).$$

Case II. $N = 8$ and $p = 2$

$$i = j = 1 \text{ and } \{1 + (N/p)ji \bmod 2\} = \{5 \bmod 2\}$$

γ_i belongs to $\Gamma_0(8) \setminus \pm \Gamma_1(8)$. Therefore $(1) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} + O(1)$ and $(2) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 2 + O(1)$.

Case III. $N = 10$ and $p = 5$

$$\{ji \bmod 5 | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \bmod 5\}$$

$$\{1 + (N/p)ji \bmod 5 | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{3, 5, 7, 9 \bmod 5\}$$

Three of γ_i 's belong to $\Gamma_0(5)$ and one of them belongs to $\pm \Gamma_1(5)$. Hence $(1) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 2 + O(1)$ and $(2) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 4 + O(1)$.

Case IV. $N = 12$ and $p = 2$

$$i = j = 1 \text{ and } \{1 + (N/p)ji \bmod 2\} = \{7 \bmod 2\}$$

γ_i belongs to $\Gamma_0(12) \setminus \pm \Gamma_1(12)$. It then follows that $(1) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} + O(1)$ and $(2) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 2 + O(1)$.

Case V. $N = 12$ and $p = 3$

$$\{ji \bmod 3 | i = 1, 2\} = \{1, 2 \bmod 3\}$$

$$\{1 + (N/p)ji \bmod 3 | i, j = 1, 2\} = \{5, 9 \bmod 3\}$$

Exactly one of γ_i 's belongs to $\Gamma_0(12) \setminus \pm \Gamma_1(12)$. We then have $(1) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} + O(1)$ and $(2) = \frac{q^{-n}}{pn} \times 2 + O(1)$.

Hence we have the following list of the pole parts.

	$\Gamma_1(N)\infty$	$\Gamma_0(N)\infty \setminus \pm \Gamma_1(N)\infty$	$\frac{1}{(N/p)j} \ (p \neq 5)$	$\frac{1}{(N/p)j} \ (p = 5)$
$X_{pn}(t) _{U_p}$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{pn}$	$\times$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{p^2n}$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{p^2n} \times 2$
$X_{pn}(t_0) _{U_p}$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{pn}$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{pn}$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{p^2n} \times 2$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{p^2n} \times 4$
$X_n(t)$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{n}$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$
$X_n(t_0)$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{n}$	$\frac{q^{-n}}{n}$	$\times$	$\times$

From the above we see that $f(z) = 2X_{pn}(t)|_{U_p} - X_{pn}(t_0)|_{U_p} - \frac{1}{p}(2X_n(t) - X_n(t_0))$ has no poles. At ∞ the Fourier expansion of $f(z)$ has no constant term. Thus $f(z)$ is identically zero. $\square$

Write $t_0 = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} h_m q^m$ and $t = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m q^m$. Also write $X_n(t_0) = \frac{1}{n} q^{-n} + \sum_{m \geq 1} h_{m,n} q^m$ and $X_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} q^{-n} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_{m,n} q^m$.

Theorem 4. *Let $N = 5, 8$ and $p = 5$ or 2 according as $N = 5$ or 8 . Let a, b, c, d be positive integers such that $ab = cd$ and $(a, b) = (c, d)$. Assume that $p^k \parallel (a, b)$. Then*

$$\psi(a, b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = \psi(c, d) \times (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d})$$

where ψ is defined by

$$\psi(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a/p^k \text{ (or } b/p^k) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $k = 0$, then the assertion is immediate from (*). Assume $k \geq 1$. By Lemma 3, $2X_b(t)|_{U_p} - X_b(t_0)|_{U_p} = \frac{1}{p}(2X_{b/p}(t) - X_{b/p}(t_0))$. Comparing the coefficients of $q^{a/p}$ -terms on both sides, we obtain $2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b} = \frac{1}{p}(2H_{a/p, b/p} - h_{a/p, b/p})$. By induction $2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b} = \frac{1}{p^k}(2H_{a/p^k, b/p^k} - h_{a/p^k, b/p^k})$. In a similar manner, $2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d} = \frac{1}{p^k}(2H_{c/p^k, d/p^k} - h_{c/p^k, d/p^k})$. Therefore, again by (*), the theorem follows. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Put $F_{m,n} = \psi(m, n) \times (2H_{m,n} - h_{m,n})$. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *If $ab = cd$ and $(a, b) = (c, d)$, then $F_{a,b} = F_{c,d}$.*

(ii) *For any positive integer s , there exists an integer $F_m^{(s)}$ ($0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that $F_m^{(1)} = 2H_m - h_m$ and $F_{m,n} = \sum_{s|(m,n)} \frac{1}{s} F_{mn/s^2}^{(s)}$. (Convention: when a summation runs over the divisors of a number, these are considered to be positive.)*

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) clear.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let F be a numerical function. If we define f by $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)F(n/d)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), then by the Möbius inversion formula $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(n/d)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The converse holds, too. For a fixed mn , $F_{m,n}$ can be viewed as a function of (m, n) . Define $F_k^{(s)} = s \cdot \sum_{d|s} \mu(d)F_{m,n}$ for $s, k \geq 1$ where we choose m, n so that $mn = s^2k$ and $(m, n) = s/d$ (e.g. $m = s/d$ and $n = (s/d) \cdot d^2k$). Then by the condition (i), $F_k^{(s)}$ is well-defined and $F_m^{(1)} = 1 \cdot \mu(1) \cdot F_{m,1} = 2H_m - h_m$. Here $\psi(m, 1) = 1$ for $N = 5, 8$ (as described in Theorem 4). If $N = 10$ or 12 , then $\psi(m, 1)$ will be defined to be 1 (see §3 and §4). Define a map $F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $F(x) = \begin{cases} F_{a,b}, & \text{if } ab = mn \text{ and } x = (a, b) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then $\sum_{s|(m,n)} \frac{1}{s} F_{mn/s^2}^{(s)} = \sum_{s|(m,n)} \frac{1}{s} \cdot s \cdot \sum_{d|s} \mu(d) F_{\frac{s}{d}, \frac{s}{d} \cdot d^2 \cdot \frac{mn}{s^2}} = \sum_{s|(m,n)} \sum_{d|s} \mu(d) F(s/d) = F((m, n)) = F_{m,n}$. $\square$

Remark 6. For a negative integer m and a positive integer n , we define

$$F_{m,n} = \psi(m, n) = H_{m,n} = h_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = -n \\ 0, & \text{if } m \neq -n \end{cases}$$

and

$$F_m^{(s)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = -1 \\ 0, & \text{if } m < -1. \end{cases}$$

Then Lemma 5-(ii) can be extended to all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 7. Let $p = e^{2\pi i y}$ and $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ with $y, z \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then $F_m^{(s)}$ satisfy the following product identity:

$$p^{-1} \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \exp \left(- \sum_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\psi(sm, sn) F_{mn}^{(s)} + h_{mn}^{(s)}}{2s} \right) q^{sm} p^{sn} \right) = t(p) - t(q).$$

Proof. Note that $X_n(t)$ can be viewed as the coefficient of p^n -term in $-\log p - \log(t(p) - t(q))$ (see [13]). Thus $\log p^{-1} - \sum_{n>0} X_n(t) p^n = \log(t(p) - t(q))$. Taking exponential on

both sides, we get

$$(3) \quad p^{-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} X_n(t)p^n\right) = t(p) - t(q).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n>0} X_n(t)p^n &= \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{m,n} q^m p^n = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\psi(m,n)F_{m,n} + h_{m,n}}{2} q^m p^n \\ &= \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{s|(m,n)} \left(\frac{\psi(m,n)F_{mn/s^2}^{(s)} + h_{mn/s^2}^{(s)}}{2s} \right) q^m p^n \\ &= \sum_{n>0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi(sm,sn)F_{mn}^{(s)} + h_{mn}^{(s)}}{2s} \right) q^{sm} p^{sn}. \end{aligned}$$

By plugging the above into (3) we obtain the desired product identity. $\square$

Theorem 8. (*Characterization of super-replicable functions*) Let $t = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m q^m$ be a normalized q -series. The followings are equivalent.

- (a) t is super-replicable with respect to $t_0 = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} h_m q^m$ and a character ψ .
- (b) for any $s \geq 1$ there exists a normalized q -series $F^{(s)} = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} F_m^{(s)} q^m$ such that $F^{(1)} = 2t - t_0$ and

$$(2X_n(t) - X_n(t_0))_{\psi} = n^{-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ 0 \leq b < d}} F^{(a)} \left(\frac{az+b}{d} \right)$$

where the notation $(2X_n(t) - X_n(t_0))_{\psi}$ is used to mean a q -series $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(m,n)(2H_{m,n} - h_{m,n})q^m$.

- (c) for every $s \geq 1$ there exists a normalized q -series $F^{(s)} = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{m \geq 1} F_m^{(s)} q^m$ such that

$$p^{-1} \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \exp \left(- \sum_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\psi(sm,sn)F_{mn}^{(s)} + h_{mn}^{(s)}}{2s} \right) q^{sm} p^{sn} \right) = t(p) - t(q)$$

where $p = e^{2\pi i y}$ and $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ with $y, z \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Proof. The equivalence of (a) and (b) follows from Lemma 5. And Proposition 7 yields that (a) implies (c).

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a)

The identity in (c) can be rewritten as

$$p^{-1} \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \exp \left(- \sum_{s|(m,n)} \left(\frac{\psi(m,n) F_{mn/s^2}^{(s)} + h_{mn/s^2}^{(s)}}{2s} \right) q^m p^n \right) = t(p) - t(q).$$

On the other hand, $t(p) - t(q) = p^{-1} \exp(-\sum_{n>0} X_n(t) p^n) = p^{-1} \exp(-\sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} H_{m,n} q^m p^n)$.

We then have $H_{m,n} = -\sum_{s|(m,n)} \left(\frac{\psi(m,n) F_{mn/s^2}^{(s)} + h_{mn/s^2}^{(s)}}{2s} \right)$, which implies $\psi(m,n)(2H_{m,n} - h_{m,n}) = \sum_{s|(m,n)} F_{mn/s^2}^{(s)}$ because h is replicable. The last identity then yields (a). $\square$

3. THE CASE $N = 12$

Lemma 9. *For odd r ,*

$$(i) (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r} q_2^{-r} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ O(1), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{cases} O(1), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{4^r} q_4^{-r} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{8^r} i^{-r} \cdot q_2^{-r} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \frac{(-1)^k i^{-r}}{2^{kr}} q^{-2^{k-4}r} + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) First, note that $X_r(t)|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 1)}$ is holomorphic at ∞ because $X_r(t)$ has poles only at the cusps $\Gamma_1(12)\infty$. Now for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 1)} &= ((X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{U_2})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 1)} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{12} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{7}{12} \ \frac{4}{7})}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left((X_r(t)|_{(\frac{7}{12} \ \frac{4}{7})})|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}\right)|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})} \quad \text{by Lemma 1} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r} q_2^{-r} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ O(1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) We observe that $X_r(t)|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 1)} \in O(1)$. And for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 1)} &= ((X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{U_2})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 1)} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})}|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ 0)}
\end{aligned}$$

has a holomorphic Fourier expansion if $k = 1$. Thus we suppose $k \geq 2$. We then derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} &= ((X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{U_2})|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{11}{12} \ -\frac{1}{12})}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we substitute the above for (4), we get that for $k \geq 2$,

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)} + \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ \frac{1}{2})}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ 0)} + \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ 0)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)} + \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{6} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{2}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{(\frac{1}{3} \ 0)}|_{(\frac{4}{3} \ \frac{1}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $k = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (X_r(t)|_{U_4})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_2})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} X_r(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} X_r(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} (4z + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4r} q_4^{-r} + O(1) \quad \text{by (i).} \end{aligned}$$

If $k = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(X_r(t)|_{U_8})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (X_r(t)|_{U_4})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_2})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_2})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} (4z + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8r} e^{-\pi i(z+\frac{1}{2})r} + O(1) = \frac{i^{-r}}{8r} q_2^{-r} + O(1) \quad \text{by (i) and the case } k = 1 \text{ in (ii).} \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq 4$, we will show by induction on k that

$$(6) \quad (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = (-1)^k \cdot \frac{i^{-r}}{2^k r} \cdot q^{-2^{k-4}r} + O(1).$$

First we note that by (i) and (5)

$$(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} (4z + 1) + O(1).$$

If $k = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^4}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{4-2}}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} (4z + 1) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{16r} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2}(4z+1)r} + O(1) \quad \text{by the case } k = 2 \\ &= \frac{i^{-r}}{2^4 r} \cdot q^{-r} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus when $k = 4$, (6) holds. Meanwhile, if $k = 5$ then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^5}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{5-2}}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} (4z + 1) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{i^{-r}}{32r} e^{-\pi i(4z+1)r} + O(1) \quad \text{by the case } k = 3 \\ &= (-1) \cdot \frac{i^{-r}}{2^5 r} \cdot q^{-2r} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore in this case (6) is also valid. Now for $k \geq 6$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{4} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-2}}})|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}(4z+1) + O(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-1)^{k-2} \cdot \frac{i^{-r}}{2^{k-2r}} \cdot e^{-2\pi i(4z+1) \cdot 2^{k-2-4r}} + O(1) \\
&\quad \text{by induction hypothesis for } k-2 \\
&= (-1)^k \cdot \frac{i^{-r}}{2^{kr}} \cdot q^{-2^{k-4}r} + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

For a modular function $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n q^n$ and $\chi(n) = \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)$ (the Jacobi symbol), the twist of f by χ is defined by $f_\chi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \chi(n) q^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}}(f(z+1/4) - f(z+3/4))$ (see [10], pp. 127-128).

Lemma 10. *For odd r ,*

$$(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi(z) = (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (X_{2^k r}(t)(z) - X_{2^k r}(t)(z+1/2)).$$

Proof. Denote the right hand side by $g(z)$. We observe that $g(z) = (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot (X_{2^k r}(t)(z) - X_{2^k r}(t)|_{U_2}(2z))$. Thus $g(z) \in K(X_1(24))$. In the proof of [9], Lemma 15 and 16, it is shown that for $f \in K(X_1(12))$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(12)$ such that $(f-c)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = \left(\frac{3}{a}\right) \cdot (f-c)$ with some constant c f_χ belongs to $K(X_1(24))$. And it is also proved there that for $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(24)$, $f_\chi|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = (-1)^{c/24} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{a+\frac{c}{4}}\right) \cdot f_\chi$. We take $X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}$ in place of f .

Then we derive that for $(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \in \Gamma_0(12)$,

$$(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})|_{(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})} = (X_r(t)|_{(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})})|_{U_{2^k}} = \frac{1}{2}\{\psi_{12}(d)(2X_r(t) - X_r(t_0)) + X_r(t_0)\}|_{U_{2^k}} + c_0$$

$$\text{with } c_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12} \\ X_r(t)(a/c), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 1 and 2

$$= \psi_{12}(d)X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} + c_0 \text{ since } X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^k}} \equiv 0 \text{ by [11], Theorem 3.1 Case V}$$

$$= \left(\frac{3}{a}\right) X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} + c_0.$$

Thus $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} - c_0/2)|_{(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})} = \left(\frac{3}{a}\right) \cdot (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} - c_0/2)$, which leads to the fact that $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi \in K(X_1(24))$ and for $(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \in \Gamma_0(24)$,

$$(7) \quad (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi|_{(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})} = (-1)^{c/24} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{a + \frac{c}{4}}\right) \cdot (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi.$$

Here we note that both $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi$ and g sit in $K(X_1(24))$. As for the equality $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi = g$, it suffices to show that $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi - g$ has no poles in $\mathfrak{H}^*$. By the same argument as in [9], Lemma 18, $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi - g$ can have poles only at the cusps which are equivalent to $1/12$ and $5/12$ under $\Gamma_1(24)$.

At $1/12$,

$$\begin{aligned} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi|_{(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix})}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix})}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})}(\begin{smallmatrix} 16 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ 6 & -1 \end{smallmatrix})}(\begin{smallmatrix} 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})}(\begin{smallmatrix} 16 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 11 & -1 \\ 12 & -1 \end{smallmatrix})}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})}(16z + 1) - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} \left(4z + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is, by Lemma 9, equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(-\frac{1}{2r} e^{-\pi i r(4z+1/2)} + O(1) \right), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(\frac{1}{4r} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{2} r(16z+1)} + O(1) \right), & \text{if } k = 2 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(\frac{1}{8r} \cdot i^{-r} e^{-\pi i r(16z+1)} + O(1) \right), & \text{if } k = 3 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4}} \left(\frac{(-1)^k \cdot i^{-r}}{2^k \cdot r} e^{-2\pi i r \cdot 2^{k-4}(16z+1)} + O(1) \right), & \text{if } k \geq 4 \end{cases} \\ & = (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-r \cdot 2^k} + O(1) \text{ for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} g|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(X_{2^k r}(t) - X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 12 & 7 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^k r} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

At 5/12,

$$\begin{aligned} (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 12 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -19 & 2 \\ -48 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{-48}{24}} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{-19 + \frac{-48}{4}} \right) \cdot (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \text{ by (7)} \\ &= (-1) \cdot (X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = (-1)^{k+1} \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-r \cdot 2^k} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} g|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 12 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 12 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 12 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^k \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 12 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 11 & -1 \\ 12 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} + O(1) = (-1)^{k+1} \cdot i^{-r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^k r} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi - g$ has no poles, as desired. Now the lemma is proved. $\square$

Let a and b be positive integers. We define $\psi(a, b)$ as follows. First we assume that

$$(6, (a, b)) = 1.$$

Case I: a odd and b odd.

$$\psi(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12} \text{ or } b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Case II: a even and b odd. Write $a = 2^k n$ with n odd.

$$\psi(a, b) = \begin{cases} \psi_{12}(b), & \text{if } (6, b) = 1 \\ (-1)^k \cdot i^{b+1} \cdot \chi(n) \cdot \psi_{12}(n), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\chi(n) = \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)$.

Case III: a odd and b even.

Put $\psi(a, b) = \psi(b, a)$.

In general, let $2^\alpha 3^\beta || (a, b)$ and define $\psi(a, b) = \psi\left(\frac{a}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}, \frac{b}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}\right)$.

Theorem 11. *Let $N = 12$. If $ab = cd$ and $(a, b) = (c, d)$, then*

$$\psi(a, b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = \psi(c, d) \times (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d}).$$

Proof. Let $2^\alpha 3^\beta || (a, b) = (c, d)$. By Lemma 3,

$$2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b} = \frac{1}{2^\alpha 3^\beta} (2H_{\frac{a}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}, \frac{b}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}} - h_{\frac{a}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}, \frac{b}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}})$$

and

$$2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d} = \frac{1}{2^\alpha 3^\beta} (2H_{\frac{c}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}, \frac{d}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}} - h_{\frac{c}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}, \frac{d}{2^\alpha 3^\beta}}).$$

Thus we may assume that $(6, (a, b)) = (6, (c, d)) = 1$.

Case I: a odd and b odd

In this case $(a, 12) = 1$ or $(b, 12) = 1$. And $(c, 12) = 1$ or $(d, 12) = 1$. Therefore the assertion follows from (*).

Case II: a even or b even

Since $\psi(a, b) = \psi(b, a)$, $H_{a,b} = H_{b,a}$ and $h_{a,b} = h_{b,a}$, we may assume that a even and b odd (resp. c even and d odd). Write $a = 2^k \cdot a'$ with a' odd (resp. $c = 2^k \cdot c'$ with c' odd) and $k \geq 1$. By Lemma 10 it holds that

$$(X_b(t)|_{U_{2^k}})_\chi(z) = (-1)^k \cdot i^{-b-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(X_{2^k b}(t)(z) - X_{2^k b}(t)(z + 1/2)).$$

Comparing the coefficients of $q^{a'}$ -terms on both sides, we obtain that $\chi(a')H_{2^k a', b} = (-1)^k \cdot i^{-b-1} \cdot H_{a', 2^k b}$. Since $h_{2^k a', b} = h_{a', 2^k b} = 0$ by [11], Theorem 3.1 Case V, we end up with $\chi(a') \cdot (-1)^k \cdot i^{b+1} \cdot (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = 2H_{a', 2^k b} - h_{a', 2^k b}$. Similarly, $\chi(c') \cdot (-1)^k \cdot i^{d+1} \cdot (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d}) = 2H_{c', 2^k d} - h_{c', 2^k d}$.

If $(b, 12) = (d, 12) = 1$, then by $(*)$

$$\psi_{12}(b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = \psi_{12}(d) \times (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d}).$$

Here we note by definition that $\psi_{12}(b) = \psi(a, b)$ and $\psi_{12}(d) = \psi(c, d)$.

If $(b, 12) = 1$ and $(d, 12) \neq 1$, then $(c', 12) = 1$. Again by $(*)$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{12}(b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) &= \psi_{12}(c') \times (2H_{c', 2^k d} - h_{c', 2^k d}) \\ &= (-1)^k \cdot i^{d+1} \chi(c') \psi_{12}(c') \cdot (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows from the definition of ψ . In the remaining cases (i.e. $(b, 12) \neq 1$ and $(d, 12) = 1$ or $(b, 12) \neq 1$ and $(d, 12) \neq 1$) the same argument leads to the assertion. $\square$

4. THE CASE $N = 10$

Throughout this section we make use of the following notations.

t : Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_1(10)$, $t^{(2)}$: Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_1(5)$

t_0 : Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(10)$, $t_0^{(2)}$: Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(5)$

Lemma 12. $2X_{2n}(t)|_{U_2} - X_{2n}(t_0)|_{U_2} = \frac{1}{4}(2X_n(t^{(2)}) - X_n(t_0^{(2)})) + \frac{1}{4}(2X_n(t) - X_n(t_0)).$

Proof. First we notice that all functions in the assertion are invariant under $\Gamma_1(10)$. Now we investigate their possible poles.

$X_n(t^{(2)})$ (resp. $X_n(t_0^{(2)})$) can have poles only at $\Gamma_1(5)\infty$ (resp. $\Gamma_0(5)\infty$). And $X_n(t)$ (resp. $X_n(t_0)$) can have poles only at $\Gamma_1(10)\infty$ (resp. $\Gamma_0(10)\infty$). Furthermore $X_{2n}(t)|_{U_2}$ (resp. $X_{2n}(t_0)|_{U_2}$) can have poles only at $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})^{-1}\Gamma_1(10)\infty$ (resp. $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})^{-1}\Gamma_0(10)\infty$) for $i = 0, 1$.

Then, up to $\Gamma_1(10)$ -equivalence,

cusps in $\Gamma_1(5)\infty$ are equivalent to $1/5$ or ∞ ,

cusps in $\Gamma_0(5)\infty$ are equivalent to $1/5$ or $2/5$ or ∞ or $3/10$,

cusps in $\Gamma_1(10)\infty$ are equivalent to ∞ ,

cusps in $\Gamma_0(10)\infty$ are equivalent to ∞ or $3/10$,

cusps in $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})^{-1}\Gamma_1(10)\infty$ are equivalent to $1/5$ or ∞ , and

cusps in $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})^{-1}\Gamma_0(10)\infty$ are equivalent to $1/5$ or $2/5$ or ∞ or $3/10$ (see the proof of Lemma 3).

By investigating the pole parts at the cusps mentioned above we have the following table:

	∞	$3/10$	$2/5$	$1/5$
$X_{2n}(t) _{U_2}$	$q^{-n}/(2n)$	$\times$	$\times$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$
$X_{2n}(t_0) _{U_2}$	$q^{-n}/(2n)$	$q^{-n}/(2n)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$
$\frac{1}{4}X_n(t^{(2)})$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$\times$	$\times$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$
$\frac{1}{4}X_n(t_0^{(2)})$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$
$\frac{1}{4}X_n(t)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$
$\frac{1}{4}X_n(t_0)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$q^{-n}/(4n)$	$\times$	$\times$

It then follows that $X_{2n}(t)|_{U_2} - \frac{1}{4}X_n(t^{(2)})$ has poles only at ∞ with $q^{-n}/(4n)$ as its pole part. Thus

$$(8) \quad X_{2n}(t)|_{U_2} - \frac{1}{4}X_n(t^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{4}X_n(t).$$

And $X_{2n}(t_0)|_{U_2} - \frac{1}{4}X_n(t_0^{(2)})$ has poles only at ∞ and $3/10$ with $q^{-n}/(4n)$ as its pole parts. Therefore,

$$(9) \quad X_{2n}(t_0)|_{U_2} - \frac{1}{4}X_n(t_0^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{4}X_n(t_0).$$

Now subtracting (9) from two times the equality (8) leads us to the conclusion. $\square$

Let χ_0 be the trivial character mod 2, i.e. $\chi_0(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

For a modular function $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n q^n$, we define its *twist* by $f_{\chi_0} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \chi_0(n) q^n$. Then it is easy to get $f_{\chi_0} = f - f|_{U_2}(2z) = \frac{1}{2}(f - f|_{(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})})$.

Lemma 13. *For an odd positive integer r ,*

$$(10) \quad [(2X_r(t) - X_r(t_0))|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} = (-1)^k [2X_{2^k r}(t) - X_{2^k r}(t_0)]_{\chi_0}.$$

Proof. Since t_0 is replicable, it follows that for odd m , $h_{2^k m, r} = h_{m, 2^k r}$, whence $[X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} = X_{2^k r}(t_0)_{\chi_0}$. Thus (10) is equivalent to

$$[2X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} - X_{2^k r}(t_0)_{\chi_0} = (-1)^k \cdot 2 \cdot X_{2^k r}(t)_{\chi_0} + (-1)^{k+1} X_{2^k r}(t_0)_{\chi_0}.$$

Now to justify (10) it suffices to prove the following.

$$(11) \quad [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} = (-1)^k \cdot X_{2^k r}(t)_{\chi_0} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1} + 1}{2} \cdot X_{2^k r}(t_0)_{\chi_0}.$$

Since $f_{\chi_0} = f - f|_{U_2}(2z)$, both LHS and RHS of (11) belong to $K(X_1(20))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (11)} &= X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k+1}}}(2z) = \frac{1}{2} [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}(z + 1/2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} X_r(t) \Big|_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 2^k \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & i \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which can have poles only at $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & i \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 2^k \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \Gamma_1(10)\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{k+1} & -2j-i \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_1(10)\infty$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(10)$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} 2^{k+1} & -2j-i \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \infty = (2^{k+1}a - 2jc - ic)/(2c)$. Observe that g.c.d.

of $2^{k+1}a - 2jc - ic$ and $2c$ divides 2^{k+2} . Write it as 2^l . Then $s = \frac{(2^{k+1}a - 2jc - ic)/2^l}{2c/2^l}$ is of the form $n/(5m)$ for some integer m and n with $(5, n) = 1$. Similarly, RHS of (11) can have poles only at such cusps. Upto $\Gamma_1(20)$ -equivalence, cusp of the form $n/(5m)$ is equivalent to one of $1/5, 2/5, 3/5, 4/5, 1/10, 3/10, 1/20, 3/20, 7/20, 9/20$.

$$\underline{s = 1/20, 3/20, 7/20, 9/20}$$

We claim that for $f \in K(X_1(10))$ and $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(20)$,

$$(12) \quad (f_{\chi_0})|_{\gamma_0} = (f|_{\gamma_0})_{\chi_0}.$$

Indeed, the LHS of the above is $(f - f|_{U_2(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})})|_{\gamma_0} = f|_{\gamma_0} - f|_{U_2(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})}_{\gamma_0}$. Meanwhile, the RHS of (12) is $f|_{\gamma_0} - (f_{\gamma_0})|_{U_2(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} = f|_{\gamma_0} - (f|_{U_2})|_{\gamma_0(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})}$ by Lemma 1. In order to claim (12) it is enough to show that $\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in \Gamma_1(10)$. In fact, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} ad - bc/2 & -ab \\ cd/2 & -2bc + da \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(10)$. Now we take $f = X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}$ (or $X_{2^k r}(t)$ or $X_{2^k r}(t_0)$) and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0(20)$ which sends ∞ to s . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{LHS of (11)}]|_{\gamma_0} &= [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0}|_{\gamma_0} = [[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\gamma_0}]_{\chi_0} \\ &= [X_r(t)|_{\gamma_0 U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} \text{ by Lemma 1} \end{aligned}$$

which belongs to $O(1)$. And

[RHS of (11)]| $_{\gamma_0} = (-1)^k X_{2^k r}(t)_{\chi_0}|_{\gamma_0} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2} \cdot X_{2^k r}(t_0)_{\chi_0}|_{\gamma_0}$. Here we observe that

$$X_{2^k r}(t)_{\chi_0}|_{\gamma_0} = [X_{2^k r}(t)|_{\gamma_0}]_{\chi_0} = \begin{cases} X_{2^k r}(t)_{\chi_0}, & \text{if } \gamma_0 \in \pm\Gamma_1(10) \\ O(1), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which belongs to $O(1)$. In a similar way, we get $X_{2^k r}(t_0)_{\chi_0}|_{\gamma_0} \in O(1)$. Thus [RHS of (11)]| $_{\gamma_0} \in O(1)$. Now LHS of (11) – RHS of (11) is holomorphic at the cusps $s = 1/20, 3/20, 7/20, 9/20$.

To deal with other cusps we need a sublemma.

Sublemma.

$$[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r} q_2^{-r}, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2^{k_r}}\right) \cdot q^{-2^{k-2}r} + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$[X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^k}}](\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r} q_2^{-r}, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2^k r} \cdot q^{-2^{k-2}r} + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

(proof of the sublemma)

$$\begin{aligned} [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}](\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) &= [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}]_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}]_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) + \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}]_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}]_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}) + \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}]_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 13 & -2 \\ 20 & -3 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}(z/2) + \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t_0) - X_r(t)]|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) + \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 .

Thus

$$(13) \quad [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}](\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r} q_2^{-r}, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t_0) - X_r(t)]|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Likewise, we have

$$(14) \quad [X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^k}}](\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r} q_2^{-r}, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Now we prove the sublemma by induction on k .

If $k = 1$, it was done.

If $k = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_r(t)|_{U_4}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) &= \frac{1}{2}[X_r(t_0) - X_r(t)]|_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) + O(1) \\ &= O(1) \text{ by (13) and (14)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X_r(t_0)|_{U_4}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) &= \frac{1}{2}X_r(t_0)|_{U_2}(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) + O(1) = \frac{1}{4r} \cdot e^{-\pi i r(2z+1)} + O(1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4r} q^{-r} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $k \geq 3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{2}X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}(2z+1) - \frac{1}{2}X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k-1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}(2z+1) + O(1) \text{ by (13)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}r} e^{-2\pi i \cdot 2^{k-3}r(2z+1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{2} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}r} \right) e^{-2\pi i \cdot 2^{k-3}r(2z+1)} + O(1) \\
&\quad \text{by induction hypothesis for } k-1 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2^{k_r}} q^{-2^{k-2}r} + \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k_r}} q^{-2^{k-2}r} + O(1) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2^{k_r}} q^{-2^{k-2}r} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{2} \right) + O(1) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2^{k_r}} q^{-2^{k-2}r} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{k+1}}{2} \right) + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

In like manner, the assertion for $[X_r(t_0)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}$ can be proved. This completes the proof of the sublemma.

$s = 1/5$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\text{LHS of (11)}]_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k+1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\
&= X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k+1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ -10 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\
&= X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - [X_r(t_0) - X_r(t)]|_{U_{2^{k+1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} + \text{const.} \\
&\quad \text{by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2r} q_2^{-r} + \frac{1}{4r} e^{-2\pi i r(\frac{z-1}{2})} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ O(1), & \text{if } k \text{ even} \\ -\frac{1}{2^{k_r}} q^{-2^{k-2}r} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} q^{-2^{k-2}r} + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 3 \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{by the sublemma} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4r} q_2^{-r}, & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^{k-2}r} \right) + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $f_{\chi_0} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2}[f - f]_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2}f \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) - \frac{1}{2}f \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 7 & 2 \\ 10 & 3 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. If we take $X_{2^{k_r}}(t)$ (and $X_{2^{k_r}}(t_0)$) instead of f , it then follows that $X_{2^{k_r}}(t)_{\chi_0} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2}X_{2^{k_r}}(t) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) -$

$\frac{1}{2}X_{2^{k_r}}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 7 & 2 \\ 10 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \in O(1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2^{k_r}}(t_0)_{\chi_0}|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{2}X_{2^{k_r}}(t_0)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - \frac{1}{2}X_{2^{k_r}}(t_0)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 7 & 2 \\ 10 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k_r}} \cdot e^{-2\pi i 2^{k_r} \left(\frac{z-1}{4}\right)} + O(1) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4r}q_2^{-r} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^{k-2}r} + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$[\text{RHS of (11)}]|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4r}q_2^{-r} + O(1), & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^{k-2}r}\right) + O(1), & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Now LHS – RHS is holomorphic at $s = 1/5$.

$s = 1/10$ For all $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{LHS of (11)}]|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= [X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}}]_{\chi_0}|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k+1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\ &= X_r(t)|_{U_{2^k}} - X_r(t)|_{U_{2^{k+1}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^{k_r}} + O(1) \text{ by the sublemma.} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $f_{\chi_0}|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = \frac{1}{2}[f - f|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}]|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = \frac{1}{2}f|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - \frac{1}{2}f|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}$. If we take f to be $X_{2^{k_r}}(t)$ (or $X_{2^{k_r}}(t)$), then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2^{k_r}}(t)_{\chi_0}|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \frac{1}{2}X_{2^{k_r}}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} - \frac{1}{2}X_{2^{k_r}}(t)|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r}q^{-2^{k_r}} + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_{2^{k_r}}(t_0)_{\chi_0}|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r}q^{-2^{k_r}} + O(1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{RHS of (11)}]|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)} &= \left((-1)^k + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^{k_r}} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k + 1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}r} \cdot q^{-2^{k_r}} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now LHS – RHS is holomorphic at $s = 1/10$.

$s = 2/5, 3/5, 4/5, 3/10$

Observe that $2/5 \sim 3/5 \sim 4/5 \sim 1/5$ and $3/10 \sim 1/10$ under $\Gamma_0(20)$. Indeed, for $c|N$, $a/c \sim a'/c$ in $X_0(20)$ if and only if $a \equiv a' \pmod{(c, N/c)}$. Write $s = \gamma_0 s_0$ with $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0(20)$ and $s_0 = 1/5$ or $1/10$. In (12) we take f to be $[2X_r(t) - X_r(t_0)]|_{U_{2^k}} - (-1)^k \cdot [2X_{2^k r}(t) - X_{2^k r}(t_0)]$. By (12), Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain that

$$(f_{\chi_0})|_{\gamma_0} = (f|_{\gamma_0})_{\chi_0} = \begin{cases} f_{\chi_0}, & \text{if } \gamma_0 \in \pm\Gamma_1(10) \\ (-f)_{\chi_0} + \text{constant}, & \text{if } \gamma_0 \notin \pm\Gamma_1(10). \end{cases}$$

Note that $\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ sends ∞ to s according as $s_0 = 1/5$ or $s_0 = 1/10$. Moreover f_{χ_0} is equal to LHS of (10) – RHS of (10). Finally $(f_{\chi_0})|_{\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = \pm(f_{\chi_0})|_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \in O(1)$. And $(f_{\chi_0})|_{\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = \pm(f_{\chi_0})|_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 10 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \in O(1)$. $\square$

For positive integers a and b we define $\psi(a, b)$ as follows. First we assume that $(10, (a, b)) = 1$.

Case I: a odd and b odd.

$$\psi(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10} \text{ or } b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Case II: a even and b odd. Write $a = 2^k r$ with r odd.

$$\psi(a, b) = \begin{cases} \psi_{10}(b), & \text{if } (10, b) = 1 \\ (-1)^k \cdot \psi_{10}(r), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Case III: a odd and b even.

Put $\psi(a, b) = \psi(b, a)$.

In general, let $2^\alpha 5^\beta || (a, b)$ and define $\psi(a, b) = \psi(\frac{a}{2^\alpha 5^\beta}, \frac{b}{2^\alpha 5^\beta})$.

We write $X_n(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{m,n} q^m$, $X_n(t_0) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{m,n} q^m$, $X_n(t^{(2)}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{m,n}^{(2)} q^m$, and $X_n(t_0^{(2)}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{m,n}^{(2)} q^m$.

Theorem 14. *Let $N = 10$. If $ab = cd$ and $(a, b) = (c, d)$, then*

$$\psi(a, b) \times (2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b}) = \psi(c, d) \times (2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d}).$$

Proof. Let $2^\alpha 5^\beta || (a, b) = (c, d)$. Write $a' = \frac{a}{2^\alpha 5^\beta}$, $b' = \frac{b}{2^\alpha 5^\beta}$, $c' = \frac{c}{2^\alpha 5^\beta}$, and $d' = \frac{d}{2^\alpha 5^\beta}$. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 12,

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} 2H_{a,b} - h_{a,b} &= \frac{1}{5^\beta} (2H_{\frac{a}{5^\beta}, \frac{b}{5^\beta}} - h_{\frac{a}{5^\beta}, \frac{b}{5^\beta}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^\alpha 5^\beta} (2H_{a',b'}^{(2)} - h_{a',b'}^{(2)} + 2H_{a',b'} - h_{a',b'}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(16) \quad 2H_{c,d} - h_{c,d} = \frac{1}{4^\alpha 5^\beta} (2H_{c',d'}^{(2)} - h_{c',d'}^{(2)} + 2H_{c',d'} - h_{c',d'}).$$

Case I: a' odd and b' odd

In this case $(a', 10) = 1$ or $(b', 10) = 1$. And $(c', 10) = 1$ or $(d', 10) = 1$. By $(*)$ it holds that $\psi(a', b') \times (15) = \psi(c', d') \times (16)$. This implies the assertion.

Case II: a' even or b' even

Since $\psi(a', b') = \psi(b', a')$, $H_{a',b'} = H_{b',a'}$ and $h_{a',b'} = h_{b',a'}$, we may assume that a' even and b' odd (resp. c' even and d' odd). Write $a' = 2^k \cdot r$ with r odd (resp. $c' = 2^k \cdot s$ with s odd) and $k \geq 1$. Then $(r, 10) = 1$ or $(b', 10) = 1$. And $(s, 10) = 1$ or $(d', 10) = 1$.

If $(b', 10) = (d', 10) = 1$, then by $(*)$

$$\psi_5(b') \times (2H_{a',b'}^{(2)} - h_{a',b'}^{(2)}) = \psi_5(d') \times (2H_{c',d'}^{(2)} - h_{c',d'}^{(2)})$$

and

$$\psi_{10}(b') \times (2H_{a',b'} - h_{a',b'}) = \psi_{10}(d') \times (2H_{c',d'} - h_{c',d'}).$$

Notice that $\psi_5(n) = \psi_{10}(n)$ for n prime to 10. We then have $\psi(a, b) \times (15) = \psi(c, d) \times (16)$.

If $(b', 10) \neq 1$ and $(d', 10) = 1$, then $(r, 10) = 1$. Note that $(a', 5) = 1$ and $(d', 5) = 1$. By $(*)$

$$\psi_5(a') \times (2H_{a',b'}^{(2)} - h_{a',b'}^{(2)}) = \psi_5(d') \times (2H_{c',d'}^{(2)} - h_{c',d'}^{(2)}).$$

Since $\psi_5(2^k r) = \psi_5(2)^k \psi_5(r) = (-1)^k \psi_{10}(r)$, the above can be rewritten as

$$(-1)^k \psi_{10}(r) \times (2H_{a',b'}^{(2)} - h_{a',b'}^{(2)}) = \psi_{10}(d') \times (2H_{c',d'}^{(2)} - h_{c',d'}^{(2)}).$$

By Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad (-1)^k(2H_{a',b'} - h_{a',b'}) &= (-1)^k(2H_{2^k r, b'} - h_{2^k r, b'}) = (-1)^k(2H_{b', 2^k r} - h_{b', 2^k r}) \\
&= 2H_{2^k b', r} - h_{2^k b', r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(r, 10) = (d', 10) = 1$, we obtain by $(*)$ that $\psi_{10}(r) \times (17) = \psi_{10}(d') \times (2H_{c', d'} - h_{c', d'})$. This implies $(-1)^k \psi_{10}(r) \times (2H_{a', b'} - h_{a', b'}) = \psi_{10}(d') \times (2H_{c', d'} - h_{c', d'})$. Thus $\psi(a, b) \times (15) = \psi(c, d) \times (16)$. The remaining cases (i.e. $(b', 10) = 1$ and $(d', 10) \neq 1$ or $(b', 10) \neq 1$ and $(d', 10) \neq 1$) can be treated in a similar way. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alexander, C. Cummins, J. McKay and C. Simons, Completely replicable functions. In: Groups, Combinatorics and Geometry, Cambridge Univ. Press, 87-95, 1992.
- [2] R. E. Borcherds, Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras, Invent. Math. 109, 405-444, 1992.
- [3] Conway, J.H. and Norton, S.P., Monstrous Moonshine, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11, 308-339, 1979.
- [4] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [5] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess monster with the modular function J as character, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 3256-3260, 1984.
- [6] _____, Vertex operator algebras and the monster, Boston, MA Academic Press, 1988.
- [7] S. J. Kang, Graded Lie superalgebras and the superdimension formula, J. Algebra 204, 597-655, 1998.
- [8] C. H. Kim and J. K. Koo, On the genus of some modular curve of level N , Bull. Australian Math. Soc. 54, 291-297, 1996.
- [9] _____, Super-replicable functions $\mathcal{N}(j_{1,N})$ and periodically vanishing property, (submitted).
- [10] Koblitz, N., Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [11] M. Koike, On replication formula and Hecke operators, Nagoya University (preprint).
- [12] T. Miyake, Modular Forms, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [13] S. P. Norton, More on moonshine. In: Computational Group Theory, 185-193, Academic Press, 1984.
- [14] G. Shimura, Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, No.11. Tokyo Princeton, 1971.
- [15] J. G. Thompson, Some numerology between the Fischer-Griess monster and elliptic modular functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11, 352-353, 1979.
- [16] _____, Finite groups and modular functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11, 347-351, 1979.

CHANG HEON KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, 126 KONGNUNG 2-DONG, NOWON-GU, SEOUL, 139-774 KOREA
E-mail address: `chkim@swu.ac.kr`

KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAEJON, 305-701 KOREA
E-mail address: `jkkoo@math.kaist.ac.kr`